

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,824	09/17/2003	Stephen Palm	BP2610	3426
	7590 09/12/200 RRISON & MARKISO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 160727			NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,824	PALM, STEPHEN	1
Examiner	Art Unit	
NGUYEN NGO	2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ext	cove, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). er than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 03 July 2008.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL	. 2b) This action is non-final.
<ol><li>Since this application</li></ol>	n is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance	e with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are	pending in the application.
4a) Of the above clai	m(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/ar	e allowed.
6) Claim(s) is/ar	e rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/ar	e objected to.
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are su	bject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is o	bjected to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed of	on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declarati	on is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9
12) Acknowledgment is r	nade of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	c) None of:
<ol> <li>Certified copie</li> </ol>	s of the priority documents have been received.
<ol><li>Certified copie</li></ol>	s of the priority documents have been received in Application No
<ol> <li>Copies of the</li> </ol>	certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for DSL handshaking between a remote DSL transceiver and a central office DSL transceiver, classified in class 709, subclass

237.

II. Claims 8-12, and 18-22, drawn to a remote DSL transceiver, classified in class

379, subclass 92.04.

III. Claims 13-17, and 23-27, drawn to a central office DSL transceiver, classified in

class 379, subclass 92.03.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions

in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system of group I does not

require the specific structure and function of the remote DSL transceiver and/or the

central office DSL transceiver as defined in group II and III. The subcombination of the

remote DSL transceiver has separate utility such as transmitting first signals for a

predetermined period of time to initiate the DSL handshaking to produce R-ETONES-

Art Unit: 2616

REQ, wherein the first signals comprise a plurality of even numbered carriers less one or more even numbered carriers, and includes periodic phase reversal. The subcombination of the central office DSL transceiver has separate utility such as transmitting first response signals in accordance with the alignment of the hyperframe to produce C-TONES-TTR, wherein the first response signals comprise a plurality of odd numbered carriers less one or more odd numbered carriers, and includes periodic phase reversal. These specific characteristics set forth in the subcombination of the remote DSL transceiver and central office DSL transceiver, are not set forth in the combination claim of the system. Since claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented, the omission of details of the claimed subcombination of the remote DSL transceiver and central office DSL transceiver in the combination of the system is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombinations.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II nor III and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2616

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGUYEN NGO whose telephone number is (571)272-8398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on (571)272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

Nauven Nao

United States Patent & Trademark Office Patent Examiner AU 2663 (571) 272-8398

/FIRMIN BACKER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616